Abstract

We present an efficient geometric algorithm for conic spline curve fitting and fairing through conic arc scaling. Given a set of planar points, we first construct a tangent continuous conic spline by interpolating the points with a quadratic Bézier spline curve or fitting the data with a smooth arc spline. The arc spline can be represented as a piecewise quadratic rational Bézier spline curve. For parts of the $G^1$ conic spline without an inflection, we can obtain a curvature continuous conic spline by adjusting the tangent direction at the joint point and scaling the weights for every two adjacent rational Bézier curves. The unwanted curvature extrema within conic segments or at some joint points can be removed efficiently by scaling the weights of the conic segments or moving the joint points along the normal direction of the curve at the point. In the end, a fair conic spline curve is obtained that is $G^2$ continuous at convex or concave parts and $G^1$ continuous at inflection points. The main advantages of the method lies in two aspects, one advantage is that we can construct a curvature continuous conic spline by a local algorithm, the other one is that the curvature plot of the conic spline can be controlled efficiently. The method can be used in the field where fair shape is desired by interpolating or approximating a given point set. Numerical examples from simulated and real data are presented to show the efficiency of the new method.
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1. Introduction

Data fitting by parametric curves can be used in wide fields such as pattern recognition, image processing, statistical data analysis and many other industrial applications. While most curve fitting algorithms try to construct a smooth curve passing through or near the given points, in the field of computer aided geometric design, it is often desirable to fit a point set by a curve close to the points and which has a please shape [17,26,28]. Even more, the curvature plot of the fitting curve should consist of as few as possible monotone pieces or with prescribed curvatures at selected points [8]. In this paper we address the problem of data fitting by conic spline for which the shape of the result curve can be controlled efficiently.

With the capability of representing conics and freeform curves and surfaces in a unified way, NURBS have become de facto the state of art in computer aided design [7,21]. The problems of data fitting by B-spline curves and surfaces have been studied extensively in the literature. To fit a point set by a B-spline curve [15,18], knots and parameters corresponding to the data points are often given ahead, then least square fitting of the data is often applied and the control points of the curve can be obtained by solving a system of linear equations. There are also several methods to fit the point data by a rational B-spline curve [3,16,27], but how to set the weights for a fair NURBS curve with controllable curvature plot is still a challenging problem.

Data fitting by arc spline curves [12,22,30] is another important method used frequently in tool path description for machining, robot path planning as well as shape modeling. When fitting a point set by an arc spline, every segment can be constructed as an elementary geometric problem. Then the shape and the number of arcs can be controlled efficiently. Even more, an arc spline can be constructed in a fairness manner and the arc segments of the spline can be reduced efficiently within a prescribed tolerance [29]. One main shortcoming of the arc spline is that its curvature is not continuous, so it cannot be used for high quality shape modeling.

With arc spline as a special case, conic spline curves and surfaces own a lot of elegant properties which make them a powerful tool for shape modeling. A conic segment can both
2. Preliminary information on conics

The standard form for a rational quadratic Bézier curve is

\[ R(t) = \frac{R_0(1-t)^2 + 2R_1wt(1-t) + R_2t^2}{(1-t)^2 + 2wt(1-t) + t^2}, \]

where \( R_i \) (\( i = 0, 1, 2 \)) are the control points of the Bézier curve and \( w \) is the middle weight. It is well known that any rational quadratic Bézier curve is a conic segment [14]. The curve is a segment of parabola when the weight \( w = 1 \), and the curve is a segment of ellipse or a segment of hyperbola when \( w \) is less than or larger than 1, respectively. If the control polygon \( R_0R_1R_2 \) forms an isosceles triangle, let the angle \( \angle R_1R_0R_2 = \theta \) and set the weight \( w = \cos \theta \), then the quadratic rational Bézier curve is a circular arc.

The unsigned curvature for the curve \( R(t) \) can be computed as

\[ k(t) = \frac{||R'(t) \times R''(t)||}{||R'(t)||^3}, \]

then the curvatures at the two ends of the conic segment are

\[ k(0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{||R_1 - R_0|| \times (R_2 - R_1)||}{w^2||R_1 - R_0||^3}, \]

and

\[ k(1) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{||R_1 - R_0|| \times (R_2 - R_1)||}{w^2||R_2 - R_1||^3}, \]

respectively. From the curvature formula, we can see that the two end curvatures will be scaled simultaneously if the weight \( w \) has been changed.

Suppose that the three control points \( R_0, R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are not collinear and \( w > 0 \), then the conic segment will not degenerate to a line. As indicated by Ahn and Kim [2] and Frey and Field [11], a quadratic rational Bézier curve can be with monotone curvature plot if and only if the middle control point \( R_1 \) lies in a region defined by the boundary points and the middle weight.

**Theorem 1.** Let

\[ U = \frac{R_2 - R_0}{||R_2 - R_0||}, \]

and

\[ r = \frac{||R_2 - R_0||}{4w^2}, \]

then we can define two circles \( O_0 \) and \( O_1 \) both with radius \( r \) and centered at \( O_0 = R_0 + rU \) and \( O_1 = R_2 - rU \), respectively. If the control point \( R_1 \) lies outside both of the two circles or inside both of the circles, then the curvature plot of the conic is with a local maximum value or a local minimum value. If the control point \( R_1 \) lies inside one of the two circles but outside the other one, then the curvature plot of the conic segment is monotone when \( w^2 > 1/2 \) and has
one local maximum value and one local minimum value when $w^2 < 1/2$.


For the convenience of a fair curve design, we can assign an integer sign $K_t[i]$ to indicate the curvature type of conic $C_i$ (see Fig. 1). We set $K_t[i] = 2$ when the control point $R_1$ lies outside both of the two circles $O_0$ and $O_1$. In this case the curvature plot has a local maximum. If $R_1$ lies inside both of the two circles $O_0$ and $O_1$, there is a local minimum value within the curvature plot, then we set $K_t[i] = 0$. If $R_1$ lies outside $O_0$ but inside $O_1$ we set the curvature type $K_t[i] = 1$. If $R_1$ lies inside $O_0$ but outside $O_1$ the curvature type $K_t[i] = -1$. When $w^2 > 1/2$, and if the curvature type of a conic section is 1 or -1, the curvature plot of the conic is monotone increasing or monotone decreasing, respectively.

To classify the curvature type of a conic segment, we will have to judge the relationship between the middle control point $R_1$ and the two circles $O_0$ and $O_1$. When $R_1$ lies on the circle $O_0$, we have

$$2 \frac{||R_2 - R_0||}{4w^2} \cos \alpha_0 = ||R_1 - R_0||.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

If $R_1$ lies on the circle $O_1$, we have

$$2 \frac{||R_2 - R_0||}{4w^2} \cos \alpha_1 = ||R_2 - R_1||.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

When each conic segment is represented as a quadratic rational Bézier curve, the conic spline curve consisting of $m$ segments of smooth connected conic pieces can then be transformed into a rational B-spline curve [21]. The knot vector for the NURBS curve can be set as $\tau = \{0,1,\ldots,m\}$, where the multiplicity for each interior knot is 2 and the multiplicity of the first and the last knots are both 3. The control polygon of the rational B-spline curve is $P_0P_1P_2\ldots P_{2m-1}P_{2m}$ where $P_{2i-1}P_{2i-1}P_{2i}$ are just the control polygon of the conic $C_i$ ($i = 1,2,\ldots,m$). Every two adjacent conics $C_i$ and $C_{i+1}$ are jointed at point $P_{2i}$, and the points $P_{2i-1}$, $P_{2i}$ and $P_{2i+1}$ are collinear when the two conics are tangent continuous at the joint point. Since the weights associated with the control polygon of the conic $C_i$ are 1, $w_{2i-1}$ and 1, then the weights of the NURBS curve are just the weights of the conics, where $w_{2i-1}$ is the weight corresponding to the control point $P_{2i-1}$, ($i = 1,2,\ldots,m$). The rest weights $w_0 = w_2 = \ldots = w_{2m} = 1$.

3. $G^2$ conic spline fitting

Though there are several algorithms presented in the literature for fitting a set of points by a conic spline curve [6, 19,23,25], but it is still a challenging problem to fit the data by a fair and curvature continuous conic spline curve directly. In this section we fit a conic spline curve to a point set by first fitting the points with a tangent continuous quadratic Bézier spline or an arc spline and then construct a curvature continuous conic spline from the original $G^1$ conic spline.

With a set of ordered planar points, an initial $G^1$ conic spline can be obtained by interpolating the points with piecewise quadratic Bézier curves. If the points are noisy, we can first fair and fit the data by an arc spline within a prescribed tolerance [30]. When the data is fitted by an arc spline, the number of arcs can be reduced efficiently within another tolerance [29]. For the convenience of representing circular arcs as rational Bézier curves, we assume that the central angle for an arc is less than $\pi$. If the central angle for

![Fig. 1. Curvature type for a conic segment in Bézier form: (a) $K_t[i] = -1$, (b) $K_t[i] = 0$, (c) $K_t[i] = 1$, (d) $K_t[i] = 2$.](image-url)
Based on the triangle $D$, if we have the scaling coefficients then, each conic segment can be expressed as a rational quadratic Bézier curve,

$$R_i(t) = \frac{P_{2i-2}(1-t)^2 + 2P_{2i-1}w_{2i-1}(1-t) + P_{2i}t^2}{(1-t)^2 + 2w_{2i-1}(1-t) + t^2},$$

where the weight $w_{2i-1} = \cos \theta_i$ for the arcs. If it is a quadratic Bézier curve, the weight can be chosen as $w_{2i-1} = 1$. Because any two adjacent arcs $C_i$ and $C_{i+1}$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1)$ are tangent continuous, then the control points $P_{2i-1}, P_{2i}$ and $P_{2i+1}$ are collinear.

To construct a curvature continuous conic spline from the $G^1$ conic spline, the weights and the tangent at the joint point for every two adjacent arc segments or conic segments can be reset to obtain a new pair of $G^2$ connected conic segments. As shown in Fig. 2, $C_i$ and $C_{i+1}$ are two adjacent conics which joint at point $P_2$. Then we can move the point $P_{2i-1}$ along the line $P_{2i-2}P_{2i-1}$ and move the point $P_{2i+1}$ along the line $P_{2i+2}P_{2i+1}$ so that the line connecting the two new points $P_{2i-1}$ and $P_{2i+1}$ still passes through the joint point $P_2$. In another point of view, we can obtain two new control points $P_{2i-1}$ and $P_{2i+1}$ by rotating the line $P_{2i-1}P_{2i+1}$ around the fixed point $P_2$ with an angle $\theta$ and compute the intersection points with the lines $P_{2i-2}P_{2i-1}$ and $P_{2i+1}P_{2i+2}$, respectively.

Let the unsigned angle between the vector $P_{2i-2}P_{2i-1}$ and the vector $P_{2i-1}P_{2i}$ be $\alpha$, the unsigned angle between the vector $P_{2i}P_{2i+1}$ and the vector $P_{2i+1}P_{2i+2}$ be $\beta$. Because the new control point $P_{2i-1}$ lies on the line $P_{2i-3}P_{2i-1}$, then we can assume that $P_{2i-1} - P_{2i-2} = \lambda(P_{2i-2} - P_{2i-3})$. In a similar way, the new control point $P_{2i+1}$ lies on the line $P_{2i+3}P_{2i+1}$, and we have $P_{2i+1} - P_{2i+2} = \mu(P_{2i+2} - P_{2i+1})$. Then, if we have the scaling coefficients $\lambda$ and $\mu$, we will obtain the two new control points for the two conics immediately. From the triangle $\Delta P_{2i-2}P_{2i}P_{2i-1}$, we have

$$\frac{\|P_{2i-1} - P_{2i-2}\| (\lambda - 1)}{\sin \theta} = \frac{\|P_{2i} - P_{2i-1}\|}{\sin(\alpha + \theta)}. \tag{3}$$

Based on the triangle $\Delta P_{2i+2}P_{2i+1}P_{2i+1}$, we have

$$\frac{\|P_{2i+1} - P_{2i}\|}{\sin(\beta - \theta)} = \frac{\|P_{2i+2} - P_{2i+1}\| (\mu - 1)}{\sin \theta}. \tag{4}$$

From Eqs. (3) and (4) we can express the parameters $\lambda$ and $\mu$ as two functions of the parameter $\theta$,

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{\|P_{2i} - P_{2i-1}\|}{\|P_{2i-1} - P_{2i-2}\|} \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin(\theta + \alpha)}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\mu = 1 - \frac{\|P_{2i+1} - P_{2i}\|}{\|P_{2i+2} - P_{2i+1}\|} \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin(\beta - \theta)}. \tag{6}$$

If we replace the control point $P_{2i-1}$ of the conic $C_i$ by a new control point $P_{2i-1}$ and keep the weights of the curve unchanged, then the end curvatures of the conic can be computed as $\tilde{k}_i(0) = (1/\lambda^2)k_i(0)$ and $\tilde{k}_i(1) = \frac{\lambda \sin^3(\alpha + \theta)}{\sin^3 \alpha} k_i(1)$, where $k_i(0)$ and $k_i(1)$ are the end curvatures of the original conic segment. In a similar way, the new end curvatures for the conic $C_{i+1}$ can be obtained as

$$\tilde{k}_{i+1}(0) = \frac{\mu \sin^3(\beta - \theta)}{\sin^3 \beta} k_{i+1}(0),$$

and $\tilde{k}_{i+1}(1) = (1/\mu^2)k_{i+1}(1)$.

To be sure that the curvature at the first end point of the new conic $C_i$ be fixed or equal to a predefined value such as the second end curvature of its former conic segment, the original weight $w_{2i-1}$ should be reset as a new one $\tilde{w}_{2i-1}$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2} k_i(0) \frac{w^2_{2i-1}}{\tilde{w}^2_{2i-1}} = k_i(1). \tag{7}$$

If $i = 1$ or $P_{2i-2}$ is an inflection, we may set $k(0) = k_i(0)$ for Eq. (7). Then the new weight can be set as

$$\tilde{w}_{2i-1} = \frac{\tilde{k}_i(0)}{\tilde{k}_i(1)} \frac{w_{2i-1}}{\lambda}.$$

At the same time, the curvature at the other end of the conic $C_i$ has been changed as

$$\frac{\lambda \sin^3(\alpha + \theta)}{\sin^3 \alpha} k_i(1) \frac{w^2_{2i-1}}{\tilde{w}^2_{2i-1}} k_{i+1}(0),$$

In the same way, the weight $w_{2i+1}$ for the conic $C_{i+1}$ can be changed as $\tilde{w}_{2i+1} = (w_{2i+1})/\mu$, and the end curvatures of the new conic are

$$\frac{\mu^3 \sin^3(\beta - \theta)}{\sin^3 \beta} k_{i+1}(1),$$

and $k_{i+1}(1)$. To be sure that the new end curvatures at the joint point of the two conics $C_i$ and $C_{i+1}$ are equal, we need

$$\frac{\lambda \sin^3(\alpha + \theta)}{\sin^3 \alpha} k_i(1) \frac{w^2_{2i-1}}{\tilde{w}^2_{2i-1}} = \frac{\mu^3 \sin^3(\beta - \theta)}{\sin^3 \beta} k_{i+1}(0). \tag{8}$$
Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (8), we have
\[
\lambda \sin(\alpha + \theta) = \mu \sin(\beta - \theta) \sin \frac{\alpha}{\sin \beta} \left( \frac{k_{i+1}(0)k_i(0)}{k_{i-1}(1)k_i(1)} \right)^{1/3}.
\] (9)

By expressing \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\) as the functions of \(\theta\), Eq. (9) can be changed into
\[
\sin(\alpha + \theta) - s_2 \sin(\beta - \theta) + (s_0 + s_1 s_2) \sin \theta = 0,
\] (10)
where
\[
s_0 = \frac{\|P_{2i} - P_{2i-1}\|}{\|P_{2i-1} - P_{2i-2}\|},
\]
\[
s_1 = \frac{\|P_{2i+1} - P_{2i}\|}{\|P_{2i+2} - P_{2i+1}\|},
\]
and
\[
s_2 = \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta} \left( \frac{k_{i+1}(0)k_i(0)}{k_{i-1}(1)k_i(1)} \right)^{1/3}.
\]

By expanding the sine function, we have \(A \sin \theta + B \cos \theta = 0\), where \(A = \cos \alpha + s_2 \cos \beta + s_0 + s_1 s_2\) and \(B = \sin \alpha - s_2 \sin \beta\). The solution to Eq. (10) is \(\theta = \arctan(-B/A)\).

When \(\theta\) is obtained, the two scaling factors \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\) are given by Eqs. (5) and (6), and the new control points and the new weights for the conics with \(G^2\) continuity are obtained.

If the curvatures \(k_i(0)\) and \(k_{i+1}(1)\) for the two conics are fixed, the left and the right curvatures at the point \(P_{2i}\) will become as
\[
\bar{k}_i(1) = \frac{\lambda^2 \sin^3(\alpha + \theta)}{\sin^3 \alpha} k_i(1),
\]
and
\[
\bar{k}_{i+1}(0) = \frac{\mu^2 \sin^3(\beta - \theta)}{\sin^3 \beta} k_{i+1}(0).
\]

It can be easily concluded from the equation \(\bar{k}_i(1) = \bar{k}_{i+1}(0)\) that when the inequality \(k_i(1) < k_{i+1}(0)\) holds, \(\theta\) will be a positive number, and then we have \(\lambda > 1\) and \(\mu < 1\). Consequently, we have \(\bar{k}_i(1) > k_i(1)\) and \(\bar{k}_{i+1}(0) < k_{i+1}(0)\). When \(k_i(1) > k_{i+1}(0)\), the directions of the inequalities should be inverted. With this fact, we can smooth a \(G^2\) conic spline by a curvature continuous conic spline, and we can also obtain a \(G^2\) connected conic spline curve by a local algorithm. Even more, if the initial conic spline interpolates a given point set, the \(G^2\) conic spline also interpolate the same point set.

4. Fair curve construction through conic scaling

Though the conic spline constructed above is \(G^2\) continuous except at inflection points, it may still be possible to reduce the number of curvature extrema. In this section we will discuss how to reduce the curvature extrema by moving the positions of the joint points (Section 4.1) and scaling the weights (Section 4.2).

4.1. Conic arc scaling with control points resetting

In the first case, we show that if the curvature at the joint point of two adjacent conics is an unwanted extremum, it can be removed or smoothed by resetting the position of the joint point. In this paper we define a joint point with an unwanted curvature extremum if the curvature at the point is a local minimum or a local maximum and the two joining conic segments own at least one another curvature extremum within the conic segments or at the other two ends. For example, if two adjacent conic segments both have local maximum curvature extrema within the conics, the curvature at the joint point is a local minimum one. In this case the curvature types of the two conics are \((2, 2)\). If the curvature types of a pair of adjacent conics are one of the types \((2, 2), (2, 1), (-1, 2),\) the curvature at the joint point is an unwanted minimum. If the two conics have monotone decreasing and monotone increasing curvature plot like \((-1, 1)\) and at least one curvature of the two ends of the conic pair is a local extremum, then the curvature at the joint point is also defined as an unwanted local extremum. In a similar way we can define the joint point with an unwanted maximum curvature just by replacing 2 by 0, 1 by \(-1\) and \(-1\) by 1 of the local minimum curvature patterns.

If the curvature at the joint point of conics \(C_i\) and \(C_{i+1}\) is a local curvature extremum as defined above, we should then move the joint point \(P_{2i}\) along the normal direction at the point (see Fig. 3), so that the number of curvature extrema within the two conics and at the joint point can be reduced or the curvature difference of adjacent curvature extrema can be smoothed. When the point \(P_{2i}\) has been moved, the curvatures of the two conic segments at the point are generally not equal to each other any more. We can then construct a \(G^2\) connected conic pair by rotating the common tangent with the new joint point fixed.

Let \(V\) be the unit vector paralleling the normal at the joint point \(P_{2i}\) and lying at the opposite side of the tangent line with respect to the conic curve itself, we can then push the joint point along the vector \(V\) to a new position as \(P_{2i} = P_{2i} + hV\). Consequently, the control points \(P_{2i-1}\) and \(P_{2i+1}\) will be pulled to two new positions along the lines \(P_{2i-2}P_{2i-1}\) and \(P_{2i+1}P_{2i+2}\), respectively. These two new points can also be defined...
by the equations \( \bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2} = \lambda_0(\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}) \) and \( \bar{P}_{2i+1} - \bar{P}_{2i+2} = \mu_0(\bar{P}_{2i+1} - \bar{P}_{2i+2}) \). Then, the signed distance between points \( \bar{P}_{2i} \) and \( \bar{P}_{2i+1} \) can be obtained as

\[
h = ||\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}|| - \lambda_0 \cos \alpha
\]

and

\[
h = ||\bar{P}_{2i+1} - \bar{P}_{2i+2}|| - \mu_0 \cos \beta.
\]

(11)

With this definition, if we can obtain one of the two scalar factors \( \mu_0 \) or \( \lambda_0 \), the other one can be obtained immediately.

When the control points \( \bar{P}_{2i-1} \) and \( \bar{P}_{2i} \) for the conic \( C_i \) have been moved to new positions, the lengths of two polygon legs have been changed as \( ||\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}|| = \lambda_0 ||\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}|| \) and \( ||\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-1}|| = \delta_0 ||\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-1}|| \), where

\[
\delta_0 = 1 - \frac{||\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}||}{||\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-1}||} \cos \alpha.
\]

The end curvatures of the conic will become \( (\delta_0/\lambda_0^2) k_1(0) \) and \( (\lambda_0/\delta_0^2) k_1(1) \). To be sure that the new conic is still \( G^2 \) continuous with its adjacent conic \( C_{i-1} \), the end curvature \( k_1(0) \) of the conic \( C_i \) should be kept unchanged. Then, we can set the new weight as

\[
\bar{w}_{2i-1} = \frac{w_{2i-1}}{\lambda_0} \sqrt{\delta_0},
\]

and the two new end curvatures of the conic are \( \bar{k}_1(0) = k_1(0) \) and \( \bar{k}_1(1) = (\lambda_0/\delta_0^2) k_1(1) \). In a similar way we can set the new weight for the conic \( C_{i+1} \) as

\[
\bar{w}_{2i+1} = \frac{w_{2i+1}}{\mu_0} \sqrt{\delta_1},
\]

where

\[
\delta_1 = 1 - \frac{||\bar{P}_{2i+1} - \bar{P}_{2i+2}||}{||\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i+1}||} \cos \beta.
\]

and the two end curvatures are \( \bar{k}_{i+1}(0) = (\mu_0/\delta_0^2) k_{i+1}(0) \) and \( \bar{k}_{i+1}(1) = k_{i+1}(1) \). From Eq. (11), if \( \lambda_0 > 1 \), then \( \mu_0 > 1 \) and it can be easily verified that both \( \bar{k}_{i+1}(0) > k_{i+1}(0) \) and \( \bar{k}_{i+1}(1) > k_{i+1}(1) \) hold. On the other hand, if the point \( P_2i \) is pulled in the opposite direction, the left and the right curvatures at the point will both be decreased.

If we want the conic \( C_i \) to be with monotone curvature plot, the new control point \( \bar{P}_{2i-1} \) should lie inside one but outside the other one of the two circles centered along the chord \( \bar{P}_{2i-2} \bar{P}_{2i} \) as defined in Theorem 1. Let the angle \( \angle P_{2i-1} \bar{P}_{2i-2} \bar{P}_{2i} = \alpha_0 \), and because the disturbance \( h \) is always very small comparing with the lengths of the control polygon, \( \alpha_0 \) can be chosen approximatively as the original angle, i.e. \( \alpha_0 \approx \angle P_{2i-1} \bar{P}_{2i-2} \bar{P}_{2i} \). To compute the permitted range of the scaling factor \( \lambda_0 \), we can just compute the scalars by which the control point \( \bar{P}_{2i-1} \) lies on the circle \( O_0 \) or on the circle \( O_1 \), we have

\[
2 \frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|}{4w_{2i-1}^2} \cos \alpha_0 = \lambda_0 \|\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|,
\]

and

\[
2 \frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|}{4w_{2i-1}^2} \cos \alpha_0 = \mu_0 \|\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|.
\]

(12)

From the triangle \( \Delta \bar{P}_{2i-2} \bar{P}_{2i} \bar{P}_{2i-1} \), we have

\[
\frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{\lambda_0 \|\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|}{\sin(\alpha - \alpha_0)}.
\]

and by substituting the expression of \( \bar{w}_{2i-1} \), Eq. (12) can be changed into

\[
a_0 \lambda_0^2 + b_0 \lambda_0 + c_0 = 0,
\]

where \( a_0 = (1/2) \cos \alpha_0 \sin \alpha \),

\[
b_0 = \frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|^2}{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-1}\|^2} \bar{w}_{2i-1} \sin(\alpha - \alpha_0) \cos \alpha,
\]

and

\[
c_0 = -\left(1 + \frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\| \cos \alpha}{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-1}\|} \right) \bar{w}_{2i-1} \sin(\alpha - \alpha_0).
\]

On the other hand, from the triangle \( \Delta \bar{P}_{2i-2} \bar{P}_{2i} \bar{P}_{2i-1} \) we also have an identity

\[
\frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-1}\|}{\sin \alpha_0},
\]

then Eq. (13) can be changed into

\[
a_1 \lambda_0^2 + b_1 \lambda_0 + c_1 = 0,
\]

where \( a_1 = (1/2) \cos(\alpha - \alpha_0) \sin \alpha \),

\[
b_1 = \frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|^2}{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-1}\|^2} \bar{w}_{2i-1} \sin \alpha_0 \cos \alpha,
\]

and

\[
c_1 = -\left(1 + \frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\| \cos \alpha}{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-1}\|} \right) \bar{w}_{2i-1} \sin \alpha_0.
\]

For most practical cases, \( 0 < \alpha, \alpha_0 < \pi/2 \), then we have \( a_0 > 0, b_0 > 0 \) and \( c_0 < 0 \). In this case, there is one and only one positive root of Eq. (14) and we choose the solution as

\[
\lambda_0^0 = -\frac{b_0 + \sqrt{b_0^2 - 4a_0 c_0}}{2a_0}.
\]

Similar result also hold for Eq. (15) and the positive solution can be obtained as

\[
\lambda_0^1 = -\frac{b_1 + \sqrt{b_1^2 - 4a_1 c_1}}{2a_1}.
\]

If \( K(t) = -1 \), the control point \( \bar{P}_{2i-1} \) lies inside the circle \( O_0 \) and in this case we have

\[
2 \frac{\|\bar{P}_{2i} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|}{4w_{2i-1}^2} \cos \alpha_0 > \|\bar{P}_{2i-1} - \bar{P}_{2i-2}\|.
\]
Substituting\[ \tilde{w}_{2i-1} = \frac{w_{2i-1}}{\lambda_0} \sqrt{\lambda_0}, \]
into Eq. (12), we can then conclude that $\lambda_0^2 < 1$. Similarly, from Eq. (13) we have $\lambda_0^2 > 1$. By the same method, we have $\lambda_0 > 1$ and $\lambda_0^2 < 1$ when $K[i] = 1$, $\lambda_0 > 1$ and $\lambda_0^2 > 1$ when $K[i] = 2$. Thus we can choose $m = \lambda_0$.

In the case that the curvature $\kappa_i$ of point $P_{2i}$ is a local minimum, the curvature type of conic $C_i$ must be $K[i] = -1$ or $K[i] = 2$. Then the scaling factor $\lambda_0$ should be selected bigger than 1 and the curvature $\kappa_{1}(1)$ will be decreased. On the other hand, if $K[i] = 1$ or $K[i] = 0$, $\lambda_0$ can be chosen less than 1 and the curvature $\kappa_{1}(1)$ will be increased. If there is no positive real solution to Eqs. (14) or (15), we can just choose $\lambda_0^2 = \lambda_0^2 = 1$.

The criteria for the choice of $\lambda_0$ is that the local curvature extrema should be reduced or smoothed while the curvature difference of the conic at two ends should be made as small as possible. We choose $\lambda_0 = 1.1\lambda_0^2 - 0.1\lambda_0^2$ as the initial value of the scaling factor. With this choice, if $\lambda_0 > \lambda_0^2$ we have $\lambda_0 > \lambda_0^2 > \lambda_0^2$, and if $\lambda_0 < \lambda_0^2$, we have $\lambda_0 < \lambda_0^2 < \lambda_0^2$. This choice can be used for fast convergence purpose of most practical cases. But, even if $\lambda_0 > \lambda_0^2$ and $\lambda_0^2$ is both bigger than 1, it is still possible that $\lambda_0 < 1$ and a local minimum curvature may be decreased further if $\lambda_0 < 1$. So, we just choose $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0^2$ when $(\lambda_0 - 1)/(\lambda_0^2 - 1) < 0$. Then the curvature $\kappa_{1}(1)$ of the conic $C_i$ will be increased if the original curvature at the point $P_{2i}$ is a local minimum value or $\kappa_{1}(1)$ will be decreased if the original curvature at the point is a local maximum value.

To compute another scaling factor $\mu_0$ for the conic $C_{i+1}$, we can just replace $P_{2i-2}$, $P_{2i-1}$, $\tilde{w}_{2i-1}$, $\alpha_0$ and $\alpha$ within Eqs. (12) and (13) by $P_{2i+2}$, $P_{2i+1}$, $\tilde{w}_{2i+1}$, $\beta_0$ and $\beta$, respectively, then we have another two quadratic equations with the unknown $\mu_0$. By the same procedure we can obtain an initial value of $\mu_0$ as $\mu_0 = \mu_0^2$. According to Eq. (11) the choices of $\lambda_0$ and $\mu_0$ are dependent on each other. If we choose $\mu_0 = \mu_0^2$, we can also compute another value for $\lambda_0$ as $\lambda_0$ from Eq. (11). Then the final choice for $\lambda_0$ can be set as $\lambda_0 = (\lambda_0 + \lambda_0^2)/2$ and the value of $\mu_0$ can be modified from Eq. (11) again.

To be sure that the new conic segments are with the same curvature sign as the original one, the position of the point $P_{2i}$ should not only be close to the original position, but also the point $P_{2i}$ should be kept in a fixed domain. The two points $P_{2i-2}$, $P_{2i+2}$ and the intersection point of two tangent lines at these two points forms a triangle (see Fig. 4). Let the intersection points of the triangle with the normal lines through the point $P_{2i}$ be $P_i$ and $P_{i+1}$, then the point $P_{2i}$ should be moved within the line segment $P_iP_{i+1}$.

Though $P_{2i}$ can be moved on the whole line segment $P_iP_{i+1}$ theoretically, we set upper and lower bounds for scalars $\lambda_0$ or $\mu_0$ for robust numerical computation. If $P_i$ lies on the line $P_{2i-2}P_{2i-1}$, then the upper bound of the scalar $\lambda_0$ can be set as
\[ \lambda_0 = 1 + 0.2 \frac{\|P_i - P_{2i-1}\|}{\|P_{2i-1} - P_{2i-2}\|}, \]
and the upper bound of $\mu_0$ can be derived from Eq. (11). If $P_i$ lies on the line $P_{2i+2}P_{2i+1}$, we can set the upper bound $\mu_0$ for the scalar $\mu_0$ first and compute the upper bound $\lambda_0$ with $\mu_0$. When $\lambda_0$ or $\mu_0$ excels their upper bounds, we can reset $\lambda_0$ and $\mu_0$ just as the corresponding bounds. The lower bounds for the scalars $\lambda_0$ and $\mu_0$ can be set as a fixed number such as 0.5. If $\lambda_0$ or $\mu_0$ is less than 0.5, it can then be set as 0.5 and the other one will be computed from Eq. (11) accordingly.

4.2. Conic arc fairing by weights scaling

The curvature extrema at the joint points can be reduced and smoothed efficiently by changing the positions of the points. However, the additional curvature extrema within some conic segments may not be removed by this method. To obtain a fair conic spline curve in the end, conic segments with unwanted curvature extrema can be fairied by scaling the intermediate weights further.

Before defining the unwanted curvature extremum within a conic segment, we can first define the condition when a conic with one local curvature extremum can be accepted. If neither of the two end curvatures of the conic segment is a local extremum, nor the two adjacent conics have local curvature extrema, the curvature extremum within the conic is defined as an accepted extremum. With this definition, a conic segment with one local maximum curvature plot should be connected to a conic with monotone increasing curvature plot and followed by another conic with monotone decreasing curvature plot. Similarly, the previous conic and the next one to a conic with a local minimum curvature value should have monotone decreasing and monotone increasing curvature plots, respectively. Then any conic segment with a local curvature extremum that its adjacent conics does not satisfy the fairness criterion should be fairied.

From Theorem 1, we can check the curvature type of the conic $C_i$ by two circles defined with the control points $P_{2i-2}$, $P_{2i-1}$, $P_{2i}$ and the weight $w_{2i-1}$ (see the dashed circles in Fig. 5). Whether the curvature plot of the conic is monotone or not will be determined by the relationship between the point $P_{2i-1}$ and the two circles. To remove the curvature extremum of the conic segment, we can then adjust the two circles by scaling the weight $w_{2i-1}$ with the control polygon of the conic fixed.
Within the curvature plot of the conic, in this case, we can choose one local maximum value and one local minimum value while the fairness of the conic segment has been possible while the fairness of the conic segment can be obtained as $w_2/\|w_2\|$.

From Eq. (16) we have

$$l_0 = \frac{1}{w_{2i-1}} \sqrt{\frac{\|P_{2i} - P_{2i-2}\|^2}{\|P_{2i-1} - P_{2i-2}\|^2}} \cos \alpha_0,$$

and from Eq. (17) we have

$$l_1 = \frac{1}{w_{2i-1}} \sqrt{\frac{\|P_{2i} - P_{2i-2}\|^2}{\|P_{2i} - P_{2i-1}\|^2}} \cos \alpha_1.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$, then $l_0 > l_1$. If $w_{2i-1} > \sqrt{2}/2$, then any weight bigger than $w_{2i-1}/l_1$ and less than $w_{2i-1}/l_0$ can be used to construct a conic with monotone curvature plot. If the curvature type of the conic segment $C_i$ has a local maximum value or $Kl[i] = 2$, we can choose $l = \min(l_0, l_1)$; and if the curvature type $Kl[i] = 0$, we choose $l = \max(l_0, l_1)$. With this choice, the curvatures at the two ends can be deformed as little as possible while the fairness of the conic segment has been improved. Then the new weight for the conic can be chosen as $w_{2i-1} = lw_{2i-1}$, and the end curvatures of the conic segment can be obtained as $\tilde{k}_2(0) = k_2(0)/l^2$ and $\tilde{k}_2(1) = k_2(1)/l^2$. If the weight $w_{2i-1}$ of the conic $C_i$ satisfies the inequality $w_{2i-1} < \sqrt{2}/2$ and $Kl[i] = 1$ or $Kl[i] = -1$, there are one local maximum value and one local minimum value within the curvature plot of the conic. In this case, we can reset the new weight as $w_{2i-1} = \sqrt{2}/2$ and the two curvature extrema within the conic segment can then be reduced or removed.

When the weight for the conic $C_i$ has been changed, the curvatures at the two ends are not equal to the curvatures of two adjacent conics any more. To achieve the curvature continuity with the conic $C_{i-1}$, we can adjust the tangent at point $P_{2i-2}$ with $P_{2i-2}$ fixed as introduced in Section 3. The tangent at point $P_{2i}$ can also be adjusted while fixing the point $P_{2i}$ so that the conic $C_i$ joins with $G^2$ continuity to the conic $C_{i+1}$ too.

4.3. The algorithm

To obtain a fair conic spline curve fitting a set of ordered points, we can first fit the points by a tangent continuous Bézier spline or an arc spline curve. These initial $G^1$ conic spline curve will be represented as a quadratic rational B-spline curve. For every two adjacent conic segments without inflection, the common tangent and their intermediate weights will be adjusted. By setting new intermediate control points of every conic in Bézier representation and new weights for these points, the conic spline curve is curvature continuous at convex or concave parts.

The conic spline curves obtained above can be fared further by removing the unwanted curvature extrema. We will reduce the unwanted curvature extrema by adjusting the control points and the weights of conics in two main steps. Firstly, we can check every joint point and try to remove the unwanted curvature extrema at the joint points by moving the positions of the points. After that we can check all conic segments in turn and remove the unwanted curvature extrema within some conic segments by scaling the weights. When a joint point has been moved or a weight has been changed, the new end curvatures of the conic are not equal to the curvatures of its neighboring conics any more. We should then adjust the tangent directions at the joints to make a curvature continuous conic spline curve. But, adjusting a common tangent at a joint point may arouse unwanted curvature extrema within adjacent conic segments. On another hand, the end curvatures of a conic may become local extrema when the curvature type of the conic has been changed too. Then we can fair the conic spline curve by repeating the above two steps. The repeat procedure will not be stopped until all the local curvature extrema are accepted or a prescribed iteration number has been reached. We can accelerate the convergence speed by repeating the first step for joint point resetting with a few times before adjusting the weights for conics with unwanted local curvature extrema. Although convergence cannot be proven, satisfactory convergence was observed in all the examples we tried. It should be noted that different fairness criteria may influence the convergence speed.

For each time of fairing, we need three independent functions, TangentRotating $(P_{2i})$, PointResetting $(P_{2i})$ and WeightScaling $(C_i)$. TangentRotating $(P_{2i})$ is a function to construct a curvature continuous conic pair by rotating the tangent at the joint and resetting two intermediate weights of the conics. To compute how to move the joint point $P_{2i}$...
along the normal direction at the point we use the function \( \text{PointResetting} (P_{2i}) \). WeightScaling \( (C_i) \) can be used to reduce or remove the curvature extrema within a conic segment by adjusting the weight corresponding to the middle control point of the conic \( C_i \). If we want to construct an interpolating curve, we can just omit the point moving step in the following algorithm. For a conic spline with inflection points, we can either divide the curve into convex and concave parts and fair every part independently, or fair the whole curve in a uniform procedure, but joint point moving or weight scaling are only applied for two adjacent conic segments or three adjacent segments without inflection. In this paper we choose the second method because it gives a more compact program.

The algorithm for fair conic spline fitting:

Step 1. Fit the data by a \( G^1 \) conic spline curve consisting of \( m \) segments;
Step 2. Construct a \( G^2 \) continuous conic spline from the initial spline;
Step 3. Compute the curvature type for each conic segment;
Step 4.1. For \( (i = 1; i < m; i++) \) {
\( \) If (the curvature at the point \( P_{2i} \) is an unwanted local extremum) {
PointResetting \( (P_{2i}) \);
TangentRotating \( (P_{2i}) \);
\}
Step 4.2. Repeat step 4.1 for 3 times;
Step 5. For \( (i = 2; i < m; i++) \) {
\( \) If (conic \( C_i \) has unwanted local curvature extrema) {
WeightScaling \( (C_i) \);
TangentRotating \( (P_{2i-2}) \);
TangentRotating \( (P_{2i}) \);
\}
}\)
Step 6. Repeat step 4 and step 5 until all the unwanted curvature extrema have been removed or a certain iteration number has been reached.

5. Examples and comparison

We have tested the algorithm for many examples and we show a few examples here to illustrate the efficiency of the algorithm.

In example 1 we sample a set of random points from a locally convex plane curve

\[
X(t) = \frac{t^3 - 1}{t^3 + 1} \quad (t), \quad t \in [-2, 2],
\]

then these points form a locally convex polygon. At first we interpolate the points by a tangent continuous quadratic Bézier spline curve, where the tangent at every interior point is selected paralleling the line connecting its former and next points and the tangents at two ends are determined by the rule that the control polygon of the first and last Bézier curves form two isosceles triangles (see dashed line in Fig. 6(a)). After that, we have tested two methods to construct curvature...
continuous conic spline curves interpolating the sampled points. One method is to keep the control polygon unchanged and compute weights for every conic based on curvature continuity condition [6]. The curvature plot of the $G^2$ conic spline is shown in Fig. 6(b). The second method is our tangent rotating method presented in Section 3. By computing the new tangent direction and new intermediate weights for every two adjacent conics, we can obtain a curvature continuous conic spline too. One advantage of the second method is that it is a local method. Even more, the curvature extrema within some conic segments can be reduced efficiently by scaling the intermediate weights and rotating the tangents at the joint points, a fair interpolating curve is obtained in the end (see Fig. 6(c)).

In the second example, the original data were sampled from the contour of a bone section. The data points are noisy and irregular. We first approximate the data by an arc spline within tolerance $0.35 \times 10^{-2}$ and then reduce the arc segments within another tolerance $0.2 \times 10^{-2}$. The tiny arcs are also merged and only 34 arcs are left. When the arc spline is obtained, we can construct a fair $G^2$ conic spline from the arc spline (see Fig. 7(a)). The arc spline and the conic spline in rational B-spline forms are plotted in Fig. 7(b). The curvature plot of an arc spline interpolating the original noisy points and the curvature plot of the final approximating arc spline are shown in Fig. 7(c). For curve parts without inflection, curvature continuous conic spline can be obtained from the arc spline. Even more, the unwanted curvature extrema of the conic spline can be reduced efficiently (see Fig. 7(d)).

In the third example, we fit the profile of a mouse section by an arc spline first and then construct a fair conic spline from the arc spline (Fig. 8(a)). With the same method as example 2, we obtain an arc spline by fitting the data within tolerance $0.5 \times 10^{-2}$. Because the arc lengths and arc angles of the initial arc spline are not uniform, the deviation of the final conic spline from the arc spline varies non-uniformly along the whole curve (see Fig. 8(b) and (c)). From the curvature plots in Fig. 8(d) and (e), we obtain a fair conic spline curve fitting the original data in the end.

The algorithm presented in this paper has been implemented on a SGI octane workstation with MIPS R10000. Because we fair a conic spline by a local algorithm and we reduce curvature extrema only by moving selected

![Fig. 7. (a) Approximating the profile of a bone section by an arc spline (dashed) and a fair conic spline (solid); (b) the arc spline (dashed) and the conic spline (solid) with control polygons; (c) curvature plot of an arc spline interpolating the original noisy data (thin) and the curvature plot of a fair fitting arc spline (thick); (d) curvature plots of the $G^2$ conic spline before (dashed) and after (solid) fairing.](image)
points and scaling selected weights, the curve can be faired in real time. The conic number, the iteration number, the total number for point move, the total number for weight scaling and the time for conic spline fairing are listed in Table 1.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented a geometric method for constructing curvature continuous conic spline from

![Fig. 8](image-url)
an initial tangent continuous conic spline curve and fair the conic spline curve by removing additional curvature extrema within the curve. The original $G^1$ curve is obtained by interpolating a point set with quadratic Bézier spline or fitting a set of noisy data with an arc spline. By representing the conic spline in piecewise rational quadratic Bézier curves, we can then change the control points and weights of adjacent conic pairs to make curvature continuous conic spline curve. The new conic spline interpolates the same set of points if the original conic spline interpolates the data at the conic ends. To fair a conic spline curve, the curvature extrema can be reduced efficiently by scaling selected conic segments. The final conic spline curves are $G^2$ continuous at convex or concave parts and $G^1$ continuous at inflection points. If a curvature continuous curve is desired, the parts containing inflection points can be replaced by cubic curves or other curves with inflections [13,17].

The method presented in this paper can be used in the fields where the shape quality is more desired than the accuracy of the fitting. In this paper we remove the additional curvature extrema for every two or three adjacent conic segments. In fact, this method can be extended to fair a conic spline with some other fairness criteria. For example, there is at most one curvature extremum within a curve part consisting of four, five or even more consecutive segments or a curve part with prescribed length. Then the conic spline can be faired by a similar fairing algorithm.
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